

5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the Plaintiffs' state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because they are so related to the FLSA claims that they form part of the same case or controversy.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Brian Bergeron is a fire lieutenant employed by the Brookline Fire Department and is a resident of Dedham, Massachusetts.
7. Plaintiff Paul Trahon is a fire lieutenant employed by the Brookline Fire Department and is a resident of Dedham, Massachusetts.
8. The named plaintiffs are current members of the Brookline Fire Union, Local 950, International Association of Firefighters ("Local 950")
9. Local 950 is the exclusive representative of firefighters, fire lieutenants, fire captains, and deputy chiefs employed by the Brookline Fire Department for bargaining over wages, hours and other working conditions.
10. Each of the named Plaintiffs who has opted in to the case by filing a consent form with the Court pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) is a current member of Local 950 and a current employee of the Town of Brookline and its fire department.
11. Defendant Town of Brookline is a municipality of, and a political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The Town is an employer within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), an "enterprise" under 29 U.S.C. section 203(r), and a "public agency" within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. section 203(x), and employed the Plaintiffs.
12. Defendant Brookline Fire Department is a department of the Town of Brookline.
13. Plaintiffs are current and former employees of the Town's fire department.

14. The named Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and other similarly situated individuals (“the represented Plaintiffs”), who are non-exempt Town employees due compensation under the FLSA on a variety of schedules including a partial overtime exemption under 29 U.S.C. § 207(k) for fire protection personnel. The amount of payments due may vary by employee.
15. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b) and 256, the named plaintiffs herein have executed and hereby file with the Court their consents in writing to become party Plaintiffs in this action, which is appended hereto as Exhibit A.
16. When other individuals similarly situated join this action, their consent will be filed with the Court.
17. These written consent forms set forth each Plaintiff’s name and intent to be party to this lawsuit.

FACTS RELATING TO CLASS CERTIFICATION

18. Plaintiffs bring this action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for themselves and on behalf of the above defined class and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 for Defendants’ failure to calculate overtime pay properly and for illegal deductions from the pay of the class.
19. Class certification for these claims is appropriate under Rule 23(a) and Rule 23(b)(3) because all the requirements of the Rules are met.
20. The class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. There are currently approximately 150 putative class members employed by the Defendants and an undetermined number of former employees who may qualify as class members.

21. There are questions of law and fact common to the class. All class members were, or continue to be, employees of Defendants who were subject to unlawful deductions from wages in violation of the FLSA and Massachusetts law and who were denied timely payment of all wages owed.
22. The named Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of the class members. Plaintiffs' claims encompass the challenged practices and course of conduct of the Defendants.
23. Plaintiffs' legal claims are based on the same legal theories as the claims of the putative class members. The legal issues as to which laws are violated by such conduct apply equally to Plaintiffs and to the class.
24. The named Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class. The Plaintiffs' claims are not antagonistic to those of the putative class, and they have hired counsel skilled in the prosecution of class actions.
25. Common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individuals, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact affecting the class as a whole. The questions of law and fact common to the class predominate over any questions affecting solely the individual members.
26. This proposed class action under Rule 23 presents few management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and the court system, protects the rights of each class member, and maximizes recovery to them.

FACTS

27. The Town and Local 950 are parties to a collective bargaining agreement (Agreement), which sets out certain wages, hours and other working conditions for firefighters, fire lieutenants, fire captains and deputy chiefs employed by the Defendants (the individuals represented by Local 950 will be referred to in this Complaint as “bargaining unit members”).
28. Plaintiffs and other bargaining unit members regularly work overtime.
29. Under the Agreement, bargaining unit members receive one and one half times their contractual base rate of pay for hours worked outside their regularly scheduled shifts.
30. At all periods relevant to this Complaint, the Defendants have possessed a partial public safety exemption from the Fair Labor Standards Act’s overtime requirements pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 207(k) that requires them to pay plaintiffs one and one half times their regular rate of pay for any hours worked over 212 in a 28-day period.
31. Since at least as far back as 2000, Local 950 has, on several occasions, alerted the Defendants of their obligations under the FLSA, and asked to see proof that the Defendants were paying bargaining unit members properly under the FLSA.
32. On or about 2000, Defendant Town reached a settlement agreement with employees of the Town’s police department, which resolved most issues raised in a lawsuit filed by those employees alleging violations of the FLSA, including violations of the overtime provisions of the FLSA.
33. On one occasion, on or about 2000, the Defendant and Local 950 reached a settlement agreement under the terms of which, bargaining unit members were paid for past FLSA

violations and the Defendants agreed to abide by the FLSA's requirements going forward.

34. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants were aware of their obligations to properly compute and use the correct "regular rate" of pay in calculating overtime compensation owed to Plaintiffs and similarly situated individuals.
35. On various occasions over the years 2000-2023, Local 950 notified the Defendants of concerns that they were not in compliance with the FLSA, yet the Defendants continued to violate the FLSA.
36. The Defendants violated and continue to violate the FLSA willfully and not in good faith.
37. The Defendants do not keep the records required by the FLSA.
38. Defendant implemented an illegal compensation computation method, which undercounts Plaintiffs' "regular rate" of pay. Defendant's method of calculating Plaintiffs' "regular rate" of pay has resulted and continues to result in under-payment for overtime hours worked. Defendant permitted Plaintiffs to perform overtime work without proper compensation.
39. At all times material herein, the Defendant's practices did not include all of the required additional compensation in the calculation of the "regular rate" of pay for Plaintiffs and similarly situated individuals for the purposes of determining overtime compensation as required by the FLSA. The Defendants have thus failed to appropriately calculate the applicable "regular rate" and to pay Plaintiffs and similarly situated individuals the required premium overtime rates for all hours of overtime they worked.

40. Plaintiffs and similarly situated individuals routinely work hours above the 207(k) FLSA threshold –and in excess of their regularly scheduled hours, resulting in additional overtime pay obligations for the Town.
41. Pursuant to the collective bargaining agreement, plaintiffs receive an Active Shooter/Hostile Event Response (ASHER) stipend.
42. Defendants do not include the ASHER stipend in bargaining unit members' contractual base rate of pay for purposes of determining the overtime pay rate.
43. In determining the hours worked by an employee, the Defendants have regularly undercounted those hours in determining whether and employee has reached the threshold for overtime pay under Section 207(k).
44. Plaintiffs normally work 24-hour shifts.
45. Although Plaintiffs are paid for only 21 hours per shift, they actually work 24 hours per shift.
46. The Defendants only count 21 hours per shift as “hours worked” for purposes of determining whether an employee has reached the Section 207(k) threshold.
47. Plaintiffs are regularly permitted to engage in “swaps,” in which one employee agrees to work a shift assigned to another employee in return for that second employee agreeing to work the first employee's shift.
48. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 207(p)(3) and 29 CFR § 553.31, public employees are permitted to engage in such substitutions as long as the employer credits each employee for the hours they were originally scheduled to work, not the hours they actually worked.

49. The Defendants have failed to consistently credit employees who have swapped shifts with the hours they were originally scheduled to work in determining “hours worked” for purposes of the FLSA’s overtime threshold.
50. The Defendants have also undercounted employee hours worked by improperly limiting the types of overtime it included in total hours worked for purposes of determining whether an employee has reached the Section 207(k) threshold.
51. By way of example, the Defendants created a classification of “COVID overtime” during the COVID pandemic, but failed to include COVID overtime hours as hours worked in determining whether the employees reached the Section 207(k) threshold.
52. On one or more occasions, the Defendants have failed to certify the employees’ hours worked on a particular day, resulting in undercounting of hours worked.
53. By way of example, on December 26, 2020, the Defendants failed to certify the employees’ hours worked. This error resulted in a failure to count any hours worked by employees on that day for purposes of determining hours worked in reaching the Section 207(k) threshold.
54. The Defendants currently treat the deputy chiefs in the fire suppression unit as exempt from the overtime provisions of the FLSA. In fact, these individuals are non-exempt employees within the meaning of the FLSA and should be receiving overtime pursuant to Section 207(k).
55. As a result of the foregoing violations of FLSA, Plaintiffs seek damages for unpaid overtime, interest thereon, liquidated damages, costs of suit and reasonable attorney fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

CAUSES OF ACTION

**COUNT I: VIOLATION OF 29 U.S.C. § 207
FAILURE TO PAY PROPER OVERTIME**

56. The Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-55.
57. By failing to include the ASHER stipend in the regular rate, the Defendants have violated the FLSA by failing to pay officers the proper amount of overtime pay.
58. This violation is willful and not in good faith.
59. As a result of this violation, Plaintiffs have received less pay than they are entitled to under the FLSA.

**COUNT II: VIOLATION OF 29 U.S.C. § 207
FAILURE TO COUNT ALL HOURS WORKED**

60. The Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-55.
61. By including 21 instead of 24 hours per shift as hours worked, Defendants have undercounted hours worked and thereby deprived Plaintiffs of overtime pay due under the FLSA.
62. This violation is willful and not in good faith.
63. As a result of this violation, Plaintiffs have received less overtime pay than they are entitled to under the FLSA.

**COUNT III: VIOLATION OF 29 U.S.C. § 207
FAILURE TO COUNT ALL HOURS WORKED**

64. The Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-55.
65. By failing to properly count hours worked by Plaintiffs who swap shifts, Defendants have in some cases undercounted hours worked and thereby deprived Plaintiffs of overtime pay due under the FLSA.
66. This violation is willful and not in good faith.
67. As a result of this violation, Plaintiffs have received less overtime pay than they are entitled to under the FLSA.

**COUNT IV: VIOLATION OF 29 U.S.C. § 207
FAILURE TO COUNT ALL HOURS WORKED**

68. The Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-55.
69. By including failing to include all types of overtime worked (e.g. COVID overtime) in hours worked, Defendants have undercounted hours worked and thereby deprived Plaintiffs of overtime pay due under the FLSA.
70. This violation is willful and not in good faith.
71. As a result of this violation, Plaintiffs have received less overtime pay than they are entitled to under the FLSA.
72. The Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-55.
73. By failing on one or more occasions (including Dec. 26, 2020) to certify the day's hours, Defendants have undercounted hours worked and thereby deprived Plaintiffs of overtime pay due under the FLSA.

74. This violation is willful and not in good faith.

75. As a result of this violation, Plaintiffs have received less overtime pay than they are entitled to under the FLSA.

**COUNT V: VIOLATION OF 29 U.S.C. § 213
MISCLASSIFICATION OF EMPLOYEES AS EXEMPT**

76. The Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-55.

77. By classifying deputy chiefs in the fire suppression unit as exempt employees, Defendants have violated the FLSA (including 29 U.S.C. § 213(a)(1)).

78. This violation is willful and not in good faith.

79. As a result of this violation, Plaintiffs who are deputy chiefs in the fire suppression unit have received less overtime pay than they are entitled to under the FLSA.

**COUNT VI: VIOLATION OF 29 U.S.C. § 211
FAILURE TO MAINTAIN RECORDS**

80. The Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-35.

81. The employment and work records for the Plaintiffs and those individuals who are similarly situated are in the exclusive possession, custody and control of the Town, and the Plaintiffs are unable to state at this time the exact amounts owing to them. The Town is under a duty imposed by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 211(c), and the regulations of the U.S. Department of Labor to maintain and preserve payroll and other employment records.

82. The City has violated the FLSA by failing to keep records required by the statute and its regulations.

**COUNT VII: CLASS ACTION CLAIM FOR FAILURE TO PAY TIMELY
OVERTIME WAGES IN VIOLATION OF M.G.L. c. 149, §§ 148, 150**

83. Based upon the foregoing, the Defendants violated the Massachusetts Wage Act by failing to make timely payment for all overtime wages owed pursuant to the FLSA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and those individuals who are similarly situated request from the Court the following relief:

- A. A declaratory judgment declaring that the Town has willfully and without good faith violated its statutory and legal obligations, and deprived Plaintiffs of their rights, protections and entitlements under federal and state law, as alleged herein;
- B. An order for a complete and accurate accounting of all the compensation to which Plaintiffs are entitled;
- C. Judgment against the Town awarding Plaintiffs monetary damages in the form of three years' back pay compensation, liquidated damages equal to their unpaid compensation, plus pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- D. An award of reasonable attorneys' fees, as well as costs and disbursement of this action; and
- E. An award granting such other further relief as the Court deems proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs hereby respectfully request a trial by jury on all claims presented in this Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

BRIAN BERGERON and
PAUL TRAHON, Plaintiffs,
on their own behalf and on behalf of all those
similarly situated,

By their attorney,

/s/ John Becker
John M. Becker, BBO # 566769
SANDULLI GRACE, P.C.
44 School Street, 11th Floor
Boston, MA 02108
Office: 617-523-2500
Cell: 617-877-2864
jbecker@sandulligrace.com

Dated: December 1, 2023

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Brian Bergeron and Paul Trahon, on their own behalf and on behalf of those similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Norfolk
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

John M. Becker, Sandulli Grace, P.C.
44 School St., 11th Fl., Boston, MA 02108

DEFENDANTS

Town of Brookline and Brookline Fire Department

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Norfolk
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

Michael Downey, Esq., Town of Brookline
333 Washington Street, 6th Floor
Brookline, MA 02445

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
| Citizen of This State | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.](#)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <input type="checkbox"/> 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) <input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS			
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding
- 2 Removed from State Court
- 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
- 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
FLSA, 20 U.S.C. sec. 201 et seq.

Brief description of cause:

Failure to pay proper overtime under FLSA; misclassification of employees as exempt under FLSA; failure to pay timely wages under state law

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$

Yet to be determined

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND:

Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE _____

DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE

12/1/2023

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

/s/ John Becker

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____

AMOUNT _____

APPLYING IFP _____

JUDGE _____

MAG. JUDGE _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1. Title of case (name of first party on each side only) Brian Bergeron v. Town of Brookline

2. Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local rule 40.1(a)(1)).

I. 160, 400, 410, 441, 535, 830*, 835*, 850, 880, 891, 893, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.

II. 110, 130, 190, 196, 370, 375, 376, 440, 442, 443, 445, 446, 448, 470, 751, 820*, 840*, 895, 896, 899.

III. 120, 140, 150, 151, 152, 153, 195, 210, 220, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 367, 368, 371, 380, 385, 422, 423, 430, 450, 460, 462, 463, 465, 480, 485, 490, 510, 530, 540, 550, 555, 560, 625, 690, 710, 720, 740, 790, 791, 861-865, 870, 871, 890, 950.

*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121. for patent, trademark or copyright cases.

3. Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.

4. Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?

YES

NO

5. Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC §2403)

YES

NO

If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?

YES

NO

6. Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284?

YES

NO

7. Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the United States and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).

YES

NO

A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?

Eastern Division

Central Division

Western Division

B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?

Eastern Division

Central Division

Western Division

8. If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)

YES

NO

(PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)

ATTORNEY'S NAME John M. Becker

ADDRESS Sandulli Grace, P.C., 44 School St. 11th Fl., Boston, MA 02108

TELEPHONE NO. Office: 617-523-2500. Cell: 617-877-2864